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Abstract

**Background:** Despite the advantages of natural childbirth and complications associated with cesarean section, rate of cesarean delivery had increased dramatically in recent years. Therefore, educational program is essential to reduce this trend. **Aim of study:** Was to evaluate the effect of educational program based on health belief model regarding safe childbirth on selected mode of delivery among primigravidae. **Design:** Quasi - experimental design was used to conduct this study. **Setting:** The study was conducted at out-patient clinic at Obstetrics and Gynecological department in Benha University Hospitals. **Sample:** A purposive sample of 300 women divided into two equal groups (study group=150 women who received educational program based on health belief model regarding safe child birth and control group= 150 women who received routine hospital care. **Tools:** Three tools of data collection were used, a structured interviewing questionnaire, Champion's health belief model scale and women's choice mode of delivery sheet. **Results:** There was an increase in total mean score of five constructs of health belief model toward vaginal and cesarean delivery in study group compared to control group with highly statistically significant differences after educational program (P≤ 0.001). More than one third of study group pre educational program preferred vaginal delivery compared by more than half of study group post educational program. While less than two thirds of study group preferred cesarean delivery pre educational program compared by less than half post educational program. On the other hand, there were no statistically significant differences between control group pre and post educational program. **Conclusion:** The educational program based on health belief model had improved primigravidae's knowledge and health beliefs regarding mode of delivery and also improved the selection of vaginal delivery. Vaginal delivery was chosen more in study group after educational program compared by pre educational program. **Recommendations:** Health belief model could be used as a routine model in health care sitting to empower women with comprehensive information on the benefits and severity of the different modes of delivery.
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**Introduction**

Childbirth is one of the most challenging events in woman’s life that considers important consequences from biological, mental, and social aspects. The process of childbirth occurs either by normal vaginal delivery or cesarean section, when childbirth naturally occurs, is called normal vaginal delivery whilst cesarean section is the delivery through abdominal wall or uterine incision (Aksoy et al., 2019).
Primigravida women (vulnerable group for the study) is the one who is more anxious about labor because of lack of information and false beliefs about labor process. Thus, knowledge regarding the pregnancy and labor has a positive influence on primigravida, to take adequate care and intern leads to better compliance during labor process, there by leading to natural childbirth (Ratiyun et al., 2021).

Educational programs are the most effective method that helps pregnant women especially primigravidae to overcome fears of natural delivery. Moreover, increasing women's awareness of benefits and disadvantages of childbirth type and the involvement in decision-making process of childbirth lead to a decrease in women's tendency to cesarean section delivery. The value of health educational programs depends on its effectiveness. The effectiveness of health educational programs to a large extent depends on the proper use of theories and models used in health education. The model used in this study is health belief model (Tavakoli, 2021).

Health Belief Model (HBM) is one of the most widely used conceptual framework for motivating people to take positive health actions that uses the desire to avoid a negative health consequence as the prime motivation. HBM is also a psychological precept that attempts to explain and predict health behaviors by focusing to predict a person's health behavior, including the use of health services and to justify intervention to alter maladaptive health behavior. The model consists of five constructs, including perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, perceived benefits, perceived barriers, cues to action (Sharama, 2019).

Nurses as midwives play an important role for promoting health. Traditionally, the focus of health promotion by nurses has been on disease prevention and correct miss concept and beliefs among women with respect to health. However, nursing role as promote of health is more complex, since nurses have multidisciplinary knowledge and experience of health promotion in nursing practice. Nurses play an important role in helping pregnant women to take active part in decision making process through providing adequate knowledge during pregnancy about safest mode of delivery following health belief model which help adaptation of health behavior (Thompson et al., 2020).

Significance of the study

Despite the benefits of normal vaginal delivery and complications associated with caesarean section, pregnant women commonly have a negative attitude toward this mode of childbirth due to the attribution of false complications. As such, rate of normal vaginal delivery has been reported to decline in recent years (Tofighi et al., 2017).

Caesarean section is often accompanied by many complications for both the mother and the fetus. Though caesarean section can be life saving for mother and fetus in certain situations, its excessive and unnecessary use is becoming a matter of concern due to increased request for CS without medical indications, maternal negative feelings toward vaginal delivery such as terror from labor pain and the baby's wellbeing concerns. Recently in Arab Republic of Egypt rate of elective Cesarean delivery was raised dramatically from 27.6% in 2010 to 52% in 2014 and 65% in Gharbia in 2014. These rates are more than other rates quoted from diverse parts of the world, both
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in the developed and developing countries (Takegata et al., 2020).

Besides, the cesarean section rate at Benha University Hospital reached to 4626 cases in 2020 according to the last hospital register (Benha University Hospital Census, 2020). Designing and implementing educational program based on health belief model for changing primigravida's false beliefs about normal vaginal delivery as most primigravida prefer cesarean section although the potential complications on the mother and fetus. There is no study was done at obstetrics, gynecological department at Benha University addressed selected mode of delivery. So, the present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of educational program based on health belief model regarding safe childbirth on selected mode of delivery among primigravida.

Aim of the study
The current study aimed to evaluate the effect of educational program based on health belief model regarding safe childbirth on selected mode of delivery among primigravida.

Research Hypotheses
- Primigravida who had received an educational program based on health belief model had improved knowledge and health beliefs regarding mode of delivery than who don’t.
- There was difference between primigravida who had received educational program regarding selected mode of delivery than who don’t.

Subject and Methods
Research design:
Quasi - experimental design was utilized to achieve the aim of the current study.

Setting:
The study was conducted in out-patient clinic at obstetrics and gynecological department in Benha University Hospitals
Sample type: A purposive sample.
Sample size: 300 primigravidae was selected according to inclusion and exclusion criteria and was equally divided into two groups (study group = 150 women who received educational program based on health belief model regarding safe child birth and control group= 150 women who received routine hospital care. Sample size was calculated utilizing following formula (Yamane, 1967).

\[
n = \frac{N}{1+N(e)^2}
\]

Where n= sample size  N= total population number (1200) according to Benha University Hospital Census, 2018.e= margin error (0.05).

Inclusion criteria:
- Primigravidae
- Single pregnancy, cephalic presentation
- Aged 20–35years old.
- In the 3rd trimester.
- Educated women.

Exclusion criteria:
Have pregnancy-related complications such as (pregnancy-induced hypertension, gestational diabetes, fetal distress, cephalopelvic disproportion, cord prolapse, placenta abruption, placenta previa and over-weight women).

Tools for data collection:
Three main tools were used for data collection:
Tool 1- Structured interviewing questionnaire
This questionnaire was designed by the researcher after reviewing related literature (Hassani et al., 2018; Bahri et al., 2018; Al-Battawi and Ibrahim, 2017). It was written in an Arabic language in the form of closed
and open ended questions and included three parts:

**Part (1): Demographic characteristics**
such as (age, educational level, occupation, residence, monthly income and body measurements which include height, weight and body mass index) and obstetric history of the studied women such as (present gestational age, follow up care, time of starting follow up and number of visits each month).

**Part (2):** Factors affecting beliefs of primigravidae to choose mode of delivery. It included: baby's factors consisted of (5) items. Maternal factors consisted of (6) items. Social factors consisted of (5) items.

**Part (3): Women's knowledge about mode of delivery,** it composed of two sections:

**Section (a):** Women's knowledge about normal vaginal delivery, it included (3 items).

**Section (b):** Women's knowledge about cesarean section, it included (4 items).

**Scoring of knowledge:**
Each item was assigned a score of (3) given when the answer was completely correct, a score (2) was given when the answer was incompletely correct and a score (1) was given when the answer was don't known. The total score of each section was calculated by summation of the scores of its items. The total score for the knowledge of a participant was calculated by the addition of the total score of two sections ranged from (7-21). Women total knowledge score was classified as the following:

- Poor knowledge when the total score less than 50% (7<10).
- Average knowledge when the total score 50% less than 75% (10<15).
- Good knowledge when total score more than 75% (15-21).

**Tool II: The Champion's Health Belief Model Scale (CHBMS):**
Champion’s Health Belief Model Scale was adopted from Champion, (1993) and adapted by the researcher then translated into Arabic language to assess women's health beliefs regarding mode of vaginal and cesarean delivery. It consisted of 5 constructs which included 20 items for each mode (normal vaginal delivery and cesarean section, perceived susceptibility (3 items), perceived benefits (8 items), perceived severity (3 items), perceived barriers (3 items), and cues to action (3 items).

**Scoring of health belief model:**
The items were judged according to three point likert scale continuum from disagree (1), uncertain (2) and agree (3), total score ranged from 20 to 60 for each five subscales, higher scores indicating extremely healthy beliefs but for concerning perceived barriers higher scores indicate more negative health beliefs.

**Tool III: Women's choice of mode of delivery sheet.**
This tool was developed by the researcher after reviewing the related literature (Loke et al., 2018, Tofighi et al., 2017), to determine women's decision regarding selecting the safest mode of delivery before and after the program. It included (2) items (preferred mode of delivery as normal vaginal delivery or cesarean section and reasons for preferred mode of delivery included (labor pain, the cost, doctor choice, health beliefs toward mode of delivery, the most common mode of delivery, having past knowledge about this mode of delivery and having knowledge from educational program).

**Tools validity and reliability:**
Tools of data collection were reviewed by panel expertise of three obstetrics nursing (1) professor of Obstetrics and Women Health Nursing Faculty of Nursing Ain Shams
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Field work:
To fulfill the aim of the present study, the following phases were adopted, interviewing and assessment phase, planning phase, implementation and evaluation phase. These phases were carried out from the beginning of June 2019 and completed at the end of February 2020 covering nine months. The researcher visited the previously mentioned setting three days/weeks (Saturday, Monday, Tuesday) from 9.00 Am to 12.00pm.

Interviewing and assessment phase:
Interviewing and assessment phase was conducted in both groups. At the beginning of the interview the researcher greeted the women, introduced herself, explained the purpose of the study and provided the women with all information about the study (purpose, duration, and benefits) and took oral consent of participants in the study. Initial assessment (pretest) was done included assessment of the structured interview questionnaire, health belief model scale, women's choice mode of delivery. Tools were completed by the participants in both groups. The data obtained constituted the baseline for further comparison to evaluate the effect of educational program based on health belief model. The average time required for completion of this phase was around (20-30 minutes). The researcher interviewed about (2-3) women per day and totally (4-8) women per week to be prepared for the upcoming educational program sessions,

Planning phase:
Based on the results obtained from the pretest assessment of women's knowledge, health beliefs and choice mode of delivery. The researcher designed booklet about safe childbirth in simple Arabic language contained proper information about normal vaginal delivery such (definition, advantages,
factors enhance normal vaginal delivery, symptoms and signs of normal vaginal delivery, complications and health instructions after delivery) and information about cesarean section such as (definition, advantages, indications for performing cesarean section, complications and health instructions after delivery). These information are supported by different illustrated pictures; the researcher used different teaching methods such as lecture, group discussion.

**Implementation phase:**

For the study group, each woman received four sessions: The duration of each session took approximately 30 minutes. The implementation phase took about four weeks’ session. The researcher divided the women in the study group into 20 subgroups, each group consisted of 4-8 women per week. Each group attended 4 structured sessions for 30 minute per session. Women's telephone numbers were taken to ensure contact.

**First session:** The researcher distributed a guide line to each woman about safe child birth. Firstly, the researcher provided overview about the booklet, then took women's feedback about normal vaginal delivery, many women beliefs that normal vaginal delivery is a painful process, cause postpartum hemorrhage, cause injuries to mother and fetus during labor.

The researcher tried to change women's false beliefs about normal vaginal delivery through providing proper information regarding definition of normal vaginal delivery and benefits as it is a normal/natural process, allow early breast feeding, faster recovery after delivery and became without pain due to advanced medical technology. The researcher supported the information with clear pictures. Then the researcher informed women with measures that can decrease the perception of pain, through relaxation techniques, breathing exercises, changing position, moving, walking and eating certain types of foods and fruits. At the end of the session, the researcher gave the women opportunity to express their opinion in the session, benefits acquired from the session and allow them to ask questions and answer to it.

**Second session:** In this session the researcher makes rapid revision on the previous session to ensure women contact for 5 minutes, then inform the women about how to overcome normal vaginal delivery complications such as perineal tears during labor, vaginal infection and perineal prolapse. The researcher clarifies that these complications can be avoided through avoiding pressure on the premium during labor, support the premium very well during labor, maintain proper hygienic measures during and after labor and following regular exercise as kegal exercise.

The researcher provided healthy instructions for women about how to get faster recovery after normal vaginal delivery such as maintaining adequate rest, taking healthy food and fresh fruits, walking, proper hygiene for the perineal area, avoid lifting heavy things, early breast feeding. At the end, the researcher gave the women five minutes to ask any question about complications and health instructions.

**Third session:** In this session, the researcher revised the previous sessions for providing feedback about previous sessions. Then, the researcher took women's feedback about cesarean delivery, most of women believed that cesarean delivery is the best mode of delivery, lower risk for fetal injuries during labor, lower pain than vaginal delivery. Some women perceived that cesarean delivery can cause abdominal wound infection, take long time for recovery.

The researcher provided the women with proper information about cesarean delivery
such as definition, advantages, indications as it is an incision through abdominal wall to deliver baby, can save life of mother and fetus when vaginal delivery poses risks to the mother and the baby. this information supported by illustrated pictures. The researcher illustrated that cesarean delivery without urgent need isn't desired because complications of cesarean delivery outweigh its benefits.

Then the researcher informed women with measures that can decrease the perception that cesarean delivery causes abdominal wound infection and take long time for recovery through keeping wound cleaned and covered, daily shower, proper hand washing before dressing, proper nutrition, regular observation of wound, rest, walking and simple exercise and taking prescribed antibiotics.

Fourth session: In this session the researcher revised the previous sessions and gave the women opportunity to ask any question. The researcher illustrated complications that may be caused when performing cesarean delivery as infection during and after delivery, postpartum hemorrhage, thromboembolism and premature birth then provided health instructions to women about how to deal with cesarean wound with illustrated pictures. At the end of session, the researcher took women impression about both modes of delivery.

the control group, received the traditional prenatal care, the researcher started with control group and interviewed 2-3 women per day. There was no structured plan for the education. Otherwise, answered any women's questions about the educational topics as needed.

Evaluation phase:
Evaluation was done for study and control groups (posttest) after one month from implementation of the educational program. The researcher met the women to evaluate the effect of the educational program by using the same format of preprogram assessment tools (I, part 3, II, III) .

Statistical analysis:
Data was verified prior to computerized entry. The statistical package for social sciences (SPSS version 20) was used followed by data analysis and tabulation. Descriptive statistics were applied (e.g., mean, standard deviation, frequency and percentages). Also tests of significance (Chi-square test, fisher exact test and independent t test) were applied to test the study hypothesis. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to investigate the relationship between study variables. A significant level value was considered when p ≤ 0.05. And A highly significant level value was considered when p<0.001.

Limitation of the study:
Sometimes the sessions were protracted due to noise and other individual's interruption.

Results:
Table (1): Shows that, more than two thirds 70% and 68% of the study and control groups were in age group 20<25 years old with mean ±SD 24.68±3.51 years and 24.68±3.25 years respectively. Regarding educational level, less than half of the study and control groups 44% and 48% had university and secondary education. As regard occupation, more than half of study and control groups 44% and 48% had university and secondary education. As regard occupation, more than half of study and control groups 44% and 48% had university and secondary education. As regard occupation, more than half of study and control groups 59.3% and 62% were housewives respectively. Regarding the monthly income 76% and 70.7% of both groups had not enough. More than three quarters of both groups were living in urban areas respectively.
Figure (1): Illustrates that, more than one third 37.3% and 34.7% of study and control groups had good total knowledge pre educational program. While, more than three quarters of study group 82.0% compared by more than one third 38.0% of control group had good total knowledge post educational program.

Table (2): Portrays that total mean score of constructs of health belief model toward vaginal delivery in study and control groups were 26.01 ± 6.81 and 26.78±6.76 with no statistically significant difference(p˃0.05) pre educational program. Meanwhile post educational program, the total mean score of constructs of health belief model toward vaginal delivery in study group was increased compared to control group 46.76 ± 5.21 versus 26.90 ± 6.75 with highly statistically significant difference (P≤ 0.001).

Table (3): Reveals that total mean score of constructs of health belief model toward cesarean delivery in study and control groups were 25.24±6.10 and 25.30±5.27 with no statistically significant difference (p>0.05) pre-educational program. Meanwhile post educational program, the total mean score of constructs of health belief model toward cesarean delivery in study group was increased compared to control group 51.40 ± 4.58 versus 25.71 ± 5.27 with highly statistically significant difference (P≤ 0.001).

Table (4): Indicates that there was a significant positive correlation between total knowledge and health belief model between study and control groups regarding vaginal delivery pre educational program (P ≤0.05). While there were a highly positive correlation in study group compared by control group post educational program (P ≤0.001).

Table (5): Indicates that there were a highly significant positive correlation between total knowledge and health belief model between study and control groups regarding cesarean delivery pre and post educational program (P ≤0.001).

Figure (2): Illustrates that less than two thirds 64.7% of study group preferred cesarean delivery pre educational program compared by less than half 40.7% post educational program. While more than half 62.7% of control group pre educational program compared by more than half 58.7% post educational program preferred cesarean delivery. Regarding vaginal delivery, more than one third 35.3% of study group pre educational program preferred vaginal delivery compared by more than half 59.3% of study group post educational program. In addition more than one third of control group 37.3% and 41.3% pre and post educational program preferred vaginal deliver
Table (1): Distribution of women in study and control groups regarding demographic characteristics (n=300).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic Characteristics</th>
<th>Study group (n=150)</th>
<th>Control group (n=150)</th>
<th>X²/FET</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 &lt; 25</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 &lt; 30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 ≤ 35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>24.68 ± 3.51</td>
<td>24.68 ± 3.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read and write</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary education</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary education</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University education</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worked</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House wife</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enough</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.091</td>
<td>0.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

°FET: Fisher Exact Test
Table 2: Mean score of total constructs of health belief model toward vaginal delivery in the study and control groups pre and post educational program (n=300).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>Maximum score</th>
<th>Pre-educational program</th>
<th>Independent t-test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Post-educational program</th>
<th>Independent t-test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Study group (n=150)</td>
<td>Control group (n=150)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Study group (n=150)</td>
<td>Control group (n=150)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived susceptibility</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.10 ± 1.20</td>
<td>5.20 ± 1.11</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>6.48 ± 1.98</td>
<td>5.25 ± 1.10</td>
<td>9.367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived severity</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.49 ± 1.66</td>
<td>4.68 ± 1.53</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>6.74 ± 1.84</td>
<td>4.84 ± 1.53</td>
<td>9.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived barriers</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.87 ± 2.02</td>
<td>3.96 ± 2.04</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>7.08 ± 1.57</td>
<td>3.98 ± 2.04</td>
<td>8.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived benefits</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10.67 ± 4.49</td>
<td>10.06 ± 4.50</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>21.22 ± 3.43</td>
<td>11.06 ± 4.50</td>
<td>4.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cues to action</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.87 ± 2.49</td>
<td>4.88 ± 2.53</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>6.24 ± 2.05</td>
<td>4.98 ± 2.53</td>
<td>1.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26.01 ± 6.81</td>
<td>26.78 ± 6.76</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>46.76 ± 5.21</td>
<td>26.90 ± 6.75</td>
<td>4.363</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effect of Educational Program Based on Health Belief Model regarding Safe Childbirth on Selected Mode of Delivery among Primigravida

Table (3): Mean score of total constructs of health belief model toward cesarean delivery in the study and control groups pre and post educational program (n=300).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>Maximum score</th>
<th>Pre- educational program</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Post educational program</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Study group (n=150)</td>
<td>Control group (n=150)</td>
<td>Independent t test</td>
<td>Study group (n=150)</td>
<td>Control group (n=150)</td>
<td>Independent t test</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived susceptibility</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.04 ±1.79</td>
<td>5.20 ± 1.72</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>7.26 ± 1.82</td>
<td>5.21 ± 1.72</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived severity</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.51 ±2.09</td>
<td>4.62 ± 2.13</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>7.16 ± 2.04</td>
<td>4.26 ± 2.13</td>
<td>2.236</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived barriers</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.83 ±1.63</td>
<td>3.12 ± 1.29</td>
<td>1.683</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.96</td>
<td>3.25 ± 1.29</td>
<td>3.799</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived benefits</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10.39 ±3.56</td>
<td>10.74 ± 3.43</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>20.82 ± 3.98</td>
<td>10.99 ± 3.43</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cues to action</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.46 ±2.15</td>
<td>3.62 ± 2.14</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>7.54 ± 2.03</td>
<td>3.72 ± 2.14</td>
<td>3.811</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>25.24 ±6.10</td>
<td>25.30 ± 5.27</td>
<td>1.598</td>
<td>51.40 ± 4.58</td>
<td>25.71 ± 5.27</td>
<td>3.680</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* statistically significant difference (P ≤0.05). ** Highly statistically significant difference (P ≤0.001).
Table (4): Correlation between total knowledge and total health belief model between study and control groups regarding vaginal delivery pre and post educational program (n=300).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total knowledge about vaginal delivery</th>
<th>Pre-educational program</th>
<th>Post educational program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Study group (n=150)</td>
<td>Control group (n=150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health beliefs</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.045*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health beliefs</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (5): Correlation between total knowledge and total health belief model between study and control groups regarding cesarean delivery pre and post educational program (n=300).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total knowledge about cesarean delivery</th>
<th>Pre-educational program</th>
<th>Post educational program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Study group (n=150)</td>
<td>Control group (n=150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health belief</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health belief</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.727</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** highly statistically significant difference (P ≤ 0.001)

Figure (1): Distribution of women in the study and control groups regarding total knowledge scores pre and post educational program based on health belief model (n=300).
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Figure (2): Distribution of women in the study and control groups regarding choice of mode of delivery pre and post educational program based on health belief model (n=300).

Discussion

Regarding demographic characteristics: The result of current study showed that, more than two thirds of the study and control groups were in age group 20< 25 years old with mean ±SD 24.68 ±3.51 years and 24.68±3.25 years respectively. Regarding educational level, less than half of the study and control groups had university and secondary education. As regard occupation, more than half of study and control groups were housewives respectively. Regarding the monthly income, more than three quarters in study group and more than two thirds in control group had not enough. More than three quarters of both groups were living in urban areas respectively.

These findings were in the same line with Ghasemi et al., (2017) study which entitled, the impact of educational intervention based on health belief model in choosing delivery mode in primigravidae pregnant women and reported that the mean age of the pregnant women in the intervention group was 24.52 ± 3.57, and it was 24.19 ± 3.18 in the control group. Also reported that 44.5% of the intervention group had university education compared by 48.8% of the control group had secondary education. In term of occupation, most of the pregnant women were housewife in the intervention group 60.9% compared by 69.1% in the control group. With regards to monthly income, most of the pregnant women income had not enough in both the intervention and the control groups 76.1% and 72.1%. According residence 75% in intervention group and 80% in control group were living in urban areas. While, these findings were in contrast with Masoumi et al., (2018) study entitled, effect of training preparation for childbirth on fear of normal vaginal delivery and choosing the type of delivery among pregnant women in Hamadan, Iran and found that the mean age of women in study group was 32.9 ± 7.9 (rang from 25 to 30 years) and in control group was 32.9 ± 7.9 (rang from 25 to 30 years). From the researcher point of view, the homogeneity of the women in the term of age were due to all participants were primigravida and this is the age of marriage in Egypt. Also most of the studied women were housewives as a result of increasing unemployment level among women and most men preferred the wives being not worked in Egypt.

Regarding mean score of total constructs of health belief model toward vaginal delivery in the study and control groups pre and post educational program. The current
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Study portrayed that total mean score of constructs of health belief model toward vaginal delivery in study and control groups had no statistically significant difference pre educational program. Meanwhile post educational program, the total mean score of constructs of health belief model toward vaginal delivery in study group was increased compared to control group with highly statistically significant difference. These findings were in accordance with Bahri et al., (2020) study entitled, application of the health belief model educational program to reduce the tendency of cesarean birth and found that there was no significant difference between the two groups regarding total constructs of the HBM before intervention (p > 0.05), but the results showed a significant difference between the two groups after 1-month intervention (p < .0001).

Regarding mean score of total constructs of health belief model toward cesarean delivery in the study and control groups pre and post educational program. The current study revealed that total mean score of constructs of health belief model toward cesarean delivery in study and control groups had no statistically significant difference pre educational program. Meanwhile post educational program, the total mean score of constructs of health belief model toward cesarean delivery in study group was increased compared to control group with highly statistically significant difference. These findings were in accordance with Darwish et al., (2019) who found that there was no significant difference between the two groups regarding total constructs of the HBM before intervention (p >0 .05), but the results showed the total mean score of constructs of health belief model toward cesarean delivery in study group was increased compared to control group with a significant difference between the two groups (p < .0001). This may be due to effectiveness of educational program administered based on health belief model.

Regarding correlation between total knowledge and total health belief model of both modes of delivery. The current study indicated that there was a significant positive correlation between total knowledge and health belief model between study and control groups regarding vaginal delivery pre educational program. While there was a highly positive correlation in study group compared by control group post educational program. These findings were in same line with Safari-Moradabadi et al., (2018) study entitled, investigating the delivery type among primiparous women in Bandar Abbas according to the health belief model and found that a significant positive correlation was observed between the total constructs of the model and the total knowledge of the two groups pre and post educational program. This may be due to effectiveness of educational program administered based on health belief model.

Regarding correlation between total knowledge and total health belief model about cesarean delivery. The current study indicated that there were a highly significant positive correlation between total knowledge and health belief model between case and control groups regarding cesarean section after intervention.

Regarding total knowledge scores, the current study illustrated that, more than one third of study and control groups had good total knowledge pre educational program. While more than three quarters of study group
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compared to more than one third of control group had good total knowledge post educational program.

These findings were in the same line with Ahmed and Khairi, (2019) study entitled, effectiveness of childbirth classes regarding labor's Knowledge and practices on primigravidae pregnant women and showed that both study and control groups had good knowledge on childbirth before program 39% and 34% and after the program more than three quarters of study group compared to more than one third of control group had good knowledge on childbirth 79% and 35%. This result may be due to the effectiveness of the educational program on improving knowledge about mode of delivery among primigravidae women.

Regarding choice of mode of delivery pre and post educational program based on health belief model. The current study illustrated less than two thirds of study group preferred cesarean delivery pre educational program compared by less than half post educational program. While more than half of control group pre educational program compared by more than half post educational program preferred cesarean delivery. Regarding vaginal delivery, more than one third of study group pre educational program preferred vaginal delivery compared by more than half of study group post educational program. In addition, more than one third of control group pre and post educational program preferred vaginal delivery.

These findings were supported with Andaroon et al., (2020) study entitled, the effect of individual counseling on attitudes and decisional conflict in the choice of delivery among nulliparous women. The result showed before the intervention, there was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of preferred delivery (P = 0.058). However, the results showed that after the intervention, there were significant differences between the two groups in terms of preferred delivery (P < 0.001) and the results showed that 61.1% of those in intervention group had chosen natural childbirth and 41.4% of those in the control group had chosen natural childbirth. Furthermore, 48.9% of those in intervention group had chosen cesarean section, while 55.6% of those in the control group had chosen cesarean section.

These were in the same line with Hassani et al., (2018) who found that before conducting the instructional intervention, both groups had intended to select CS. After the intervention, in the treatment group 60% mentioned natural delivery as their final choice.

Conclusion
The educational program based on health belief model was effective in improving total knowledge score and total health belief model score regarding both modes of delivery in study group than control group. There were highly statistically differences between study and control groups regarding total five constructs of health belief model regarding vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery after educational program. In addition, there were highly positive correlation between total knowledge and health belief model regarding vaginal delivery in study group compared by control group post educational program. Also there were a highly significant positive correlation between total knowledge and total health belief model among studied women (study and control group) regarding cesarean delivery pre and post educational program. Moreover, vaginal delivery was chosen more in study group after educational program. While choice of cesarean delivery was lower after educational program. Therefore, the study aim was achieved and the study hypotheses were supported.
Recommendations:
1- Health belief model could be used as a routine model in health care sitting to empower women with comprehensive information on the benefits and severity of the different modes of delivery.
2- A simplified and comprehensive booklet about safe childbirth should be available for pregnant women to help them select the safest mode of delivery suitable for women's health.

Further studies
1- Replicate this study using larger samples of the population and include more than one hospital with different affiliations in different regions of Egypt in order to generalize the findings.
2- Giving educational program for nurses about using health belief model in the routine care of pregnant women that help in changing false beliefs.

References


Effect of Educational Program Based on Health Belief Model regarding Safe Childbirth on Selected Mode of Delivery among Primigravida

Model in Decreasing Cesarean Rate Among Primiparous Pregnant Mothers, Journal of Education and Health promotion, Iran, 5 (4), 1-15.


تأثير برناهج تعليمي قائن على نوىرج الوعتقذ الصحي فيوا يتعلق باختيار طريق الىلادة التئب بين البكريات المترهبت، حيث جاهعت بنها الترقيع الذولي: 3934 – 2682

هيجلت العليم الولصح العربي

على الرغم من مزايا الولادة الطبيعية والمضاعفات المرتبطة بالولادة الفيصرية، فقد زاد معدل الولادة الفيصرية بشكل كبير في السنوات الأخيرة. لذلك، فإن البرامج التعليمي ضروري للحد من هذا الاتجاه. لذلك هدفت الدراسة إلى تقييم تأثير برناهج تعليمي قائم على نموذج المعتقدات الصحية فيما يتعلق باختيار طريقة الولادة الأمنة بين البكريات. وقد تم استخدام التصميم شبه التجريبى لتحقيق هدف الدراسة الحالي. وآجريت هذه الدراسة في العيادة الخارجية لأمراض النساء والتوليد في مستشفى بنها الجامعي. وقد تم اخذ عينة غرضية. حيث تم اختيار 300 من البكريات وفقًا لمعايير الشمول والاستبعاد. وقد تم تقسيمها بشكل عشوائي بالتساوي إلى مجموعتين (مجموعة الدراسة = 150 سيدة تلقى برنامجًا تعليميًا بشأن الولادة الأمنة للأطفال استنادًا إلى نموذج الاعتقاد الصحي، ومجموعة التحكم = 150 سيدة تلقى فقط الرعاية الصحية بالمستشفى. حيث كشفت النتائج أن هناك تحسن في مجموعات درجات المعرفة في مجموعة الدراسة مقارنة بمعالجة التحكم. كانت هناك زيادة في متوسط درجة الكلية لخمس تراكيب لنموذج المعتقد الصحي تجاه الولادة المهبلية والفيصرية في مجموعة الدراسة مقارنة بمعالجة التحكم مع وجود فروق ذات دلالة إحصائية عالية بعد البرامج التعليمي. فضل أكثر من ثلث مجموعة الدراسة قبل البرنامج التعليمي الولادة المهبلية مقارنة بأكثر من نصف مجموعة الدراسة بعد البرنامج التعليمي. بينما فضل أقل من ثلثي مجموعة الدراسة البرامج التعليمي قبل الولادة الفيصرية مقارنة بأقل من نصف برنامج ما بعد التعليم. من ناحية أخرى لا توجد فروق ذات دلالة إحصائية بين مجموعة التحكم قبل البرنامج التعليمي. وحده، وأوصت الدراسة بأن هناك حاجة إلى استخدام نموذج الاعتقاد الصحي كنموذج روتيوني في جلسة الرعاية الصحية لتمكين النساء بمعلومات شاملة عن فوائد وخطورة طرق الولادة المختلفة.